

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/745,098	12/20/2000	Carlos Orlando Vilacha Zanoni	286765-00001	8858	
75	90 08/23/2002				
David C. Jenkins			EXAMINER		
600 Grant Stree	,		NEWHOUSE, NATHAN JEFFREY		
Pittsburgh, PA 15219			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3727		
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>				Δ:			
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
		09/745,098	VILACHA ZANONI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nathan J. Newhouse	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>	<u>lune 2002</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
•	on of Claims						
•	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· ·)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers	r election requirement.					
9) 🗌 🤄	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 June 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_is: a)□ approved b)□ dis	approved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) 🗌 A	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachmen	•	- priority and or o.o.o. y	3 120 and/or 121.				
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/745,098 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The corrected or substitute drawings were received on June 5, 2002. These drawings are approved.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Leenaards '594.

Leenaards teaches a crown closure with a top 12, curved portion 15, skirt 13 and a plurality of serrations 14 that are located below the curved portion. Leenaards teaches the curved portion follows the contour of the bottle mouth. See col. 2, lines 8-17. Leenaards further teaches that the diameter of the closure is between 20-40mm and the curved portion has a radius of 2-5mm.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

Art Unit: 3727

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leenaards '594 in view of Ferngren '056.

Leenaards teaches everything except for the bottle mouth having an upper and lower portion with a fulcrum therebetween.

Ferngren teaches a similar bottle and closure wherein the bottle mouth has an upper and lower portion with a fulcrum therebetween. See figure 1. This arrangement improves the seal between the closure and bottle neck. See page 2, right column, lines 5-17. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the bottle neck of Leenaards with an upper portion and lower portion with a fulcrum therebetween as taught by Ferngren to improve the seal between the closure and bottle.

6. Claims 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leenaards '594 in view of Myer'098.

Leenaards teaches everything except for the method of forming the crown closure by using a die press to form the closures from metal sheets.

Myer teaches a known method of forming crown closures from metal sheets using a die press. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the crown closure of Leenaards by the known method taught by Myer.

Art Unit: 3727

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed June 5, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to applicant's arguments concerning the Leenaards '594, applicant argues that Leenaards does not teach the curved portion of the closure being the same shape as the mouth of the container prior to crimping of the crown closure onto the bottle and that the shape of the curved portion of the crown closure can not be the same shape as the crown closure or Leenaards must also accommodate the seal located between the crown closure and the bottle mouth. These arguments are incorrect. Leenaards, as shown in figures 2 and 5, teaches the crown closure having a curved portion that is the same shape as the mouth contour of the bottle prior to crimping. While Leenaards does teach a seal located between the crown closure and bottle mouth, applicant also has a liner or seal 30 located therebetween. It would appear that if applicant's invention has a liner between the crown closure and the bottle while the curved portion of the crown closure is of the same shape as the mouth contour of the bottle, then the same would hold true for the curved portion of the crown closure of Leenaards and it would match the shape of the mouth contour of the bottle.

8. With respect to applicant's arguments concerning the combination of Leenaards '594 and Myer '098, applicant argues that there is no teaching or suggestion to combine. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by

Art Unit: 3727

combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, Leenaards teaches all of the structure of applicant's crown closure, but does not method of forming the crown closure from a metal sheet by using a die press. This method of forming crown closure is old. Myer teaches forming crown closures from metal sheets by using a die press. Therefore, it would have been obvious to utilize this method to form the crown closure of Leenaards.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3727

Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by persons entitled to the information, "should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information without contacting the examiners", M.P.E.P. 203.08. The Group clerical receptionist number is (703) 308-1148.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers or other general questions should be directed to Tech Center 3700 Customer Service at (703) 306-5648, email CustomerService3700@uspto.gov.

Any inquiry concerning the merits of the examination of the application from the examiner should be directed to Nathan J. Newhouse whose telephone number is (703)-308-4158. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee W. Young can be reached on (703)-308-2572. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9302 for regular communications and (703)-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-308-1148.

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/745,098

Art Unit: 3727

Certificates of Correction
Drawing Corrections/Draftsman
Fee Increase Questions
Intellectual Property Questions
Petitions/Special Programs
Terminal Disclaimers
Information Help line
Internet PTO-Home Page

(703) 305-8309 (703) 305-8404/8335 (703) 305-5125 (703) 305-8217 (703) 305-9282 (703) 305-8408 1-800-786-9199 http:www.uspto.gov

> Nathan J. Newhouse Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

August 23, 2002